

Cross correlation analysis of the reactions of 4-nitrophenyloxirane with arylsulfonic acids in dioxane


Igor V. Shpan'ko,* Irina V. Sadovaya and Anatolii M. Kitaigorodskii

Department of Chemistry, Donetsk National University, 83055 Donetsk, Ukraine.
Fax: +38 0622 92 7112; e-mail: organic@dongu.donetsk.ua

10.1070/MC2001v011n02ABEH001368

It has been found using cross correlation analysis that the effects of substituents Y and temperature on the rate of oxirane ring opening are nonadditive in the reactions of 4-nitrophenyloxirane with arylsulfonic acids ($\text{YC}_6\text{H}_4\text{SO}_3\text{H}$) in dioxane at 286–318 K.

It is a topical problem of the chemistry of oxiranes to determine the rate laws of epoxide ring opening under the action of cross-varied factors (the structures of reactants and catalysts, medium, temperature, *etc.*). We report here the results of cross correlation analysis of the kinetic data on the reactions of 4-nitrophenyloxirane with Y-substituted benzenesulfonic acids ($\text{Y} = 4\text{-OMe}$, 4-Me, H, and, in part, 4-Br, and 3- NO_2) in dioxane at 286–318 K:



The products of reactions (1) were isolated as stable ductile yellowish orange oils. The yields were very high (90–95%, as found by HPLC). The structures were studied by ^1H NMR spectroscopy. The compounds corresponded to 2-arylsulfonato-2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethanols,¹ *e.g.*, 2-(4-methoxyphenylsulfonato)-2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethanol [$\text{Y} = 4\text{-OMe}$ in equation (1)].[†] Analogous 2,2-disubstituted ethanol derivatives (primary alcohols) were also formed in the related reactions of aryloxiranes with HNO_3 .²

To measure reaction rates, the interaction between reactants was stopped by the addition of an HBr solution in glacial acetic acid to a kinetic sample. After 30 min, the unreacted amount of HBr was determined by potentiometric titration using an AgNO_3 solution. The reaction kinetics was examined using more than tenfold amounts of arylsulfonic acids (HA) with respect to the initial concentrations of 4-nitrophenyloxirane (S): $[\text{HA}] \gg [\text{S}] = (3\text{--}7) \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$. In all cases, the observed pseudo-first-order reaction rate constants (k_{obs}) remained constant in the course of reaction up to 70–80% conversion of the epoxide (determination error was no higher than 5%). The reaction was found to exhibit the first order with respect to epoxide. At the same time, linear relationships between k_{obs} and $[\text{HA}]^2$ were observed ($r \geq 0.996$), which pass through the origin of coordinates. Thus, the kinetics of the reactions of interest is described by the equation

$$-d[\text{S}]/dt = k_{\text{obs}}[\text{S}] = k_3[\text{S}][\text{HA}]^2, \quad (2)$$

where k_{obs} and k_3 are pseudo-first-order and third-order rate constants, respectively.


The k_3 constants were found from the linear relations $k_{\text{obs}} = k_3[\text{HA}]^2$ at four or more HA concentrations. Table 1 summarises the values of k_3 for reactions (1) and the ρ_Y^T values calculated by the Hammett equation for partial reaction series at fixed temperatures. Note that ρ_Y^T dramatically decreases with decreasing temperature; this fact is indicative of the nonadditivity of combined effects of substituents Y and a temperature factor in cross-reaction series (1).

To evaluate the effect of temperature on the rate of reactions (1), we used the Eyring equation

$$\lg(k_3/T) = A + B/T^{-1}, \quad (3)$$

where $A = \lg(k_B/h) + \Delta S^\ddagger/2.3R$, $B = -\Delta H^\ddagger/2.3R$ (k_B is the Boltzmann constant, h is the Plank constant and R is the gas constant). As a rule, the transmission coefficient was taken equal to

[†] ^1H NMR (200 MHz, $[\text{D}_6\text{H}_5\text{O}]\text{DMSO}$) δ : 3.53 (br. t, 2H, CH_2), 3.84 (s, 3H, OMe), 5.15 (br. t, 1H, OH, exch. D_2O), 5.48 (t, 1H, CH, J 4.3 Hz), 6.96 (d, 2H, arom., J 8.2 Hz), 7.51 (d, 2H, arom., J 7.2 Hz), 7.72 (d, 2H, arom., J 7.5 Hz), 8.08 (d, 2H, arom., J 8.1 Hz).



Scheme 1

1 for the heterolytic reactions, so that it was omitted in equation (3). Table 2 summarises the parameters of equation (3) for the reactions of 4-nitrophenyloxirane with arylsulfonic acids, as well as the enthalpies (ΔH^\ddagger), entropies (ΔS^\ddagger) and free energies (ΔG^\ddagger) of activation calculated using these parameters. A change in the slope B in equation (3) with Y is consistent with the above nonadditive effects of the structure and temperature on the rate of reactions (1). It was estimated by the equation:

$$\lg k_3 = \lg k_3^{\text{st}} + \rho_Y^{\text{st}} \sigma_Y + B_T^{\text{st}} \tau_T + q_{YT} \sigma_Y \tau_T, \quad (4)$$

where $\tau_T = (1/T - 1/298) \times 10^3$, k_3^{st} is the rate constant under standard conditions ($\sigma_Y = 0$, $T = 298 \text{ K}$), ρ_Y^{st} and B_T^{st} are the parameters of standard reactions at $T = 298 \text{ K}$ and $\sigma_Y = 0$, respectively, and q_{YT} is the cross interaction coefficient. The following result was obtained using equation (4):

$$\lg k_3 = (-1.06 \pm 0.01) + (1.96 \pm 0.06) \sigma_Y + (-3.4 \pm 0.1) \tau_T + (-5.8 \pm 0.4) \sigma_Y \tau_T \quad (5)$$

$$N = 13, S = 0.027, R = 0.998, \hat{\sigma}_Y = -0.59, \hat{\tau}_T = 0.338 (\hat{T} = 271 \text{ K})$$

Regression equation (5) adequately describes reactions (1), as follows from the agreement between the experimental and calculated values of k_3 summarised in Table 1.

Because of a statistically significant coefficient of cross interaction ($q_{YT} = -5.8 \pm 0.4$), regression equation (5) exhibits isoparametric properties, *i.e.*, its attributes are isoparametric points with respect to the constant of substituent $\hat{\sigma}_Y = -B_T^{\text{st}} q_{YT}^{-1} = -0.59$ and temperature $\hat{\tau}_T = -\rho_Y^{\text{st}} q_{YT}^{-1} = 0.338$ ($\hat{T} = 271 \text{ K}$). However, these isoparametric points were not attained in practice because, first, the solvent in use (dioxane, mp 284.7 K) is solid at 271 K and, second, an arylsulfonic acid bearing non-amine substituent Y with the constant σ_Y close to an isoparametric value ($\hat{\sigma}_Y = -0.59$) cannot be chosen.

Reactions (1), which are of first or second order with respect to the epoxide substrate and the acid reagent, respectively, most likely proceed *via* a mechanism similar to that proposed for the reactions of ethylene oxide with nitric acid³ and carboxylic acids (Scheme 1).⁴ The first step of the reaction is the formation of association complex 1 by the reversible acid–base interaction. At the second step, this activated epoxide substrate is subjected to a nucleophilic attack by another arylsulfonic acid molecule to form termolecular transition state 2. This rate-limiting step proceeds *via* the concerted process of nucleophilic substitution $\text{A}_\text{N}\text{D}_\text{N}$ with electrophilic assistance to C–O bond rupture in an epoxide ring.

According to Scheme 1, $k_3 = K_{\text{as}} k_{\text{lim}}$. Therefore, $\Delta H^\ddagger = \Delta H_{\text{as}} +$

Table 2 Coefficients of equation (3) and activation parameters of reactions (1).

	4-OMe	4-Me	H
A	2.2±0.5	6.4±0.2	10.2±0.3
B	-1.8±0.1	-2.33±0.05	-3.36±0.03
r	0.994	0.999	0.999
S	0.0378	0.0130	0.0153
$\Delta H^\ddagger/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$	35.5	43.8	63.0
$\Delta S^\ddagger/\text{J mol}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$	-157	-119	-48.8
$\Delta G_{308}^\ddagger/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$	83.7	80.4	78.0

+ $\Delta H_{\text{lim}}^\ddagger$ and $\Delta S^\ddagger = \Delta S_{\text{as}} + \Delta S_{\text{lim}}^\ddagger$: at the first step of association, $\Delta H_{\text{as}} < 0$ and $\Delta S_{\text{as}} < 0$,⁵ whereas at the second step (S_N2 substitution) $\Delta H_{\text{lim}}^\ddagger > 0$ and $\Delta S_{\text{lim}}^\ddagger < 0$.⁶ The weakening of the electron-donor properties of substituents in the order 4-OMe > 4-Me > H is favourable for hydrogen transfer in complex **1** to result in a decrease in both ΔH_{as} and ΔS_{as} (an increase in the absolute values). In this case, a decrease in ΔH^\ddagger and ΔS^\ddagger should be expected, which is inconsistent with data in Table 2. A decrease in ΔG^\ddagger on going from Y = 4-OMe to Y = H (Table 2) is indicative of the controlling contribution from an entropy component ($\Delta S_{\text{lim}}^\ddagger$) of free energy of activation at the step of epoxide ring opening to a change in the rate of reactions (1) with varying structures of arylsulfonic acids. The nucleophilicity of a part A in HA decreases with decreasing electron-donor properties of substituents Y. This increases the A–C bond order and decreases the C–O bond order; that is, transition state **2** becomes product-like. This is evidenced from the above decrease in $|\Delta S^\ddagger|$ in the order 4-OMe > 4-Me > H. Thus, the degree of epoxide ring opening considerably increases as the electron-donor properties of Y decrease.

The values of ΔH^\ddagger and ΔS^\ddagger are changed with a compensation effect typical of concerted nucleophilic substitution:⁷ $\Delta H^\ddagger = (75 \pm 2) \times 10^3 + (260 \pm 9) \Delta S^\ddagger$, $r = 0.998$, $S = 1092$. The slope of this function characterises an isokinetic temperature ($T_{\text{iso}} = 260 \text{ K}$), which is consistent with the value calculated by cross correlation (5).

Thus, cross correlation analysis of the reactions of 4-nitrophenyloxirane with arylsulfonic acids is a useful mechanistic tool in the studies of epoxide ring opening.

Table 1 Rate constants $k_3/10^2 \text{ dm}^6 \text{ mol}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and ρ_Y^T values in the Hammett equation for the reactions of 4-nitrostyrene oxide with arylsulfonic acids $\text{YC}_6\text{H}_4\text{SO}_3\text{H}$ in dioxane at different temperatures [the values calculated by equation (5) are given in parentheses].

Y (σ_Y)	T/K ($\tau_T \times 10^3$)			
	286 (0.141)	298 (0)	308 (-0.109)	318 (-0.211)
4-OMe (-0.268)	1.38 (1.43)	2.5 (2.6)	3.8 (4.1)	7.1 (6.4)
4-Me (-0.17)	1.89 (1.85)	4.02 (4.04)	7.5 (7.4)	12.2 (13.0)
H (0)	2.98 (2.89)	9.16 (8.71)	20.3 (20.4)	—
4-Br (0.23)	4.9 (5.3)	—	—	—
3-NO ₂	18.8 (18.7)	—	—	—
ρ_Y^T	1.14±0.03	2.13±0.02	2.7±0.1	—
r	0.999	0.999	0.999	—

References

- 1 I. V. Shpan'ko, I. V. Sadovaya and A. M. Kitaigorodskii, *Teor. Eksp. Khim.*, 2000, **36**, 367 (in Russian).
- 2 J. Dormer and R. B. Moodie, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2*, 1994, 1195.
- 3 N. N. Lebedev and V. M. Kozlov, *Zh. Org. Khim.*, 1966, **2**, 261 [*J. Org. Chem. USSR (Engl. Transl.)*, 1966, **2**, 252].
- 4 N. N. Lebedev and K. A. Gus'kov, *Kinet. Katal.*, 1963, **4**, 116 [*Kinet. Catal. (Engl. Transl.)*, 1963, **4**, 96].
- 5 A. S. Dneprovskii and T. I. Temnikova, *Teoreticheskie osnovy organicheskoi khimii (Theoretical Fundamentals of Organic Chemistry)*, Khimiya, Leningrad, 1979, p. 123 (in Russian).
- 6 C. K. Ingold, *Structure and Mechanism in Organic Chemistry*, 2nd edn., Cornell University Press, Ithaca–London, 1969, ch. 7.
- 7 V. E. Bel'skii, *Izv. Akad. Nauk, Ser. Khim.*, 2000, 809 (*Russ. Chem. Bull.*, 2000, **49**, 806).

Received: 17th August 2000; Com. 00/1694